1		
2		
3		
4		
5	PEROF	
6	BEFORE THE BOARD OF VOCATIONAL NURSING AND PSYCHIATRIC TECHNICIANS DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
7		
8	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. PT-2009-4322
9	in the Watter of the Accusation Against.	Case No. F1-2009-4322
10	XENIA JANE ELMIDO	DEFAULT DECISION AND ORDER
11	1756 Andrews Circle Suisun, CA 94585	DEFRICE DECISION AND CADEN
12	Psychiatric Technician License No. PT 31654	[Gov. Code, §11520]
13	,	
14	Respondent.	
15		
16	ENVENTAGE OF TAKET	
17	FINDINGS OF FACT	
18	1. On or about January 12, 2011, Complainant Teresa Bello-Jones, J.D., M.S.N., R.N.,	
19	in her official capacity as the Executive Officer of the Board of Vocational Nursing and	
20	Psychiatric Technicians, Department of Consumer Affairs, filed Accusation No. PT-2009-4322	
21	against Xenia Jane Elmido ("Respondent") before the Board of Vocational Nursing and	
22 23	Psychiatric Technicians. (Accusation attached as Exhibit A.) 2. On or about April 17, 2003, the Board of Vocational Nursing and Psychiatric	
24 25	Technicians ("Board") issued Psychiatric Technician License No. PT 31654 to Respondent. The Psychiatric Technician License expired on August 31, 2010, and has not been renewed.	
26		
27	3. On or about January 12, 2011, Respondent was served by Certified and First Class Mail copies of the Accusation No. PT-2009-4322, Statement to Respondent, Notice of Defense,	
28	Request for Discovery, and Discovery Statutes (Government Code sections 11507.5, 11507.6,	
20	Trequest for Discovery, and Discovery statutes (Government Code sections 11507.5, 11507.6,	

and 11507.7) at Respondent's address of record which, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 136 and/or agency specific statute or regulation, is required to be reported and maintained with the Board, which was and is:

1756 Andrews Circle Suisun, CA 94585.

- 4. Service of the Accusation was effective as a matter of law under the provisions of Government Code section 11505, subdivision (c) and/or Business & Professions Code section 124.
- 5. On or about February 9, 2011, the aforementioned documents were returned by the U.S. Postal Service marked "Attempted Not Known" with Respondent's address crossed off on the envelope.
 - 6. Government Code section 11506 states, in pertinent part:
- (c) The respondent shall be entitled to a hearing on the merits if the respondent files a notice of defense, and the notice shall be deemed a specific denial of all parts of the accusation not expressly admitted. Failure to file a notice of defense shall constitute a waiver of respondent's right to a hearing, but the agency in its discretion may nevertheless grant a hearing.
- 7. Respondent failed to file a Notice of Defense within 15 days after service upon her of the Accusation, and therefore waived her right to a hearing on the merits of Accusation No. PT-2009-4322.
 - 8. California Government Code section 11520 states, in pertinent part:
- (a) If the respondent either fails to file a notice of defense or to appear at the hearing, the agency may take action based upon the respondent's express admissions or upon other evidence and affidavits may be used as evidence without any notice to respondent.
- 9. Pursuant to its authority under Government Code section 11520, the Board finds Respondent is in default. The Board will take action without further hearing and, based on the relevant evidence contained in the Default Decision Evidence Packet in this matter, as well as taking official notice of all the investigatory reports, exhibits and statements contained therein on file at the Board's offices regarding the allegations contained in Accusation No. PT-2009-4322,

finds that the charges and allegations in Accusation No. PT-2009-4322, are separately and severally, found to be true and correct by clear and convincing evidence.

10. Taking official notice of its own internal records, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 125.3, it is hereby determined that the reasonable costs for Enforcement is \$1,392.50 as of February 22, 2011.

DETERMINATION OF ISSUES

- 1. Based on the foregoing findings of fact, Respondent Xenia Jane Elmido has subjected her Psychiatric Technician License No. PT 31654 to discipline.
 - 2. The agency has jurisdiction to adjudicate this case by default.
- 3. The Board of Vocational Nursing and Psychiatric Technicians is authorized to revoke Respondent's Psychiatric Technician License based upon the following violations alleged in the Accusation, all of which are supported by the evidence contained in the concurrently submitted Default Decision Investigatory Evidence Packet in this case. Said causes for discipline include:
- Unprofessional Conduct in failing to adhere to the ethical standards of practice for a Psychiatric Technician, in violation of section 4521, subdivision (a) of the Business and Professions Code.
- Unprofessional Conduct in failing to maintain professional boundaries with a
 patient at Napa State Hospital, in violation of section 4521, subdivision (a) of the Business and
 Professions Code.
- Unprofessional Conduct in disregarding Napa State Hospital Administrative
 Directive No. 437 (breach in security), in violation of section 4521, subdivision (a) of the
 Business and Professions Code.
- Unprofessional Conduct in disregarding Napa State Hospital Administrative
 Directive No. 293 (maintaining a smoke free environment), in violation of section 4521,
 subdivision (a) of the Business and Professions Code.
- Failure to notify the Board of her change in address as mandated by California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 2564.

ORDER IT IS SO ORDERED that Psychiatric Technician License No. PT 31654, heretofore issued to Respondent Xenia Jane Elmido, is revoked. Pursuant to Government Code section 11520, subdivision (c), Respondent may serve a written motion requesting that the Decision be vacated and stating the grounds relied on within seven (7) days after service of the Decision on Respondent. The agency in its discretion may vacate the Decision and grant a hearing on a showing of good cause, as defined in the statute. This Decision shall become effective on May 26, 2011 It is so ORDERED April 26, 2011 FOR THE BOA RD OF VOCATIONAL DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS Attachment - Exhibit A: Accusation DOJ Matter ID:SF2010202857

Exhibit A

Accusation - PT 2009-4322

JAN 12 2011 EDMUND G. BROWN JR. 1 Attorney General of California Board of Vocational Nursing 2 FRANK H. PACOE and Psychiatric Technicians Supervising Deputy Attorney General 3 JUDITH J. LOACH Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 162030 4 455 Golden Gate Avenue, Suite 11000 5 San Francisco, CA 94102-7004 Telephone: (415) 703-5604 6 Facsimile: (415) 703-5480 E-mail: Judith.Loach@doj.ca.gov 7 Attorneys for Complainant 8 BEFORE THE BOARD OF VOCATIONAL NURSING AND PSYCHIATRIC TECHNICIANS 9 DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 Case No. PT-2009-4322 In the Matter of the Accusation Against: 12 XENIA JANE POBRE ELMIDO 13 1756 Andrews Circle Suisun, CA 94585 ACCUSATION 14 Psychiatric Technician License No. 31654 15 Respondent. 16 17 Complainant alleges: 18 **PARTIES** 19 1. Teresa Bello-Jones, J.D., M.S.N., R.N. ("Complainant") brings this Accusation solely 20 in her official capacity as the Executive Officer of the Board of Vocational Nursing and 21 Psychiatric Technicians, Department of Consumer Affairs. 22 2. On or about April 17, 2003, the Board of Vocational Nursing and Psychiatric 23 Technicians issued Psychiatric Technician License Number 31654 to Xenia Jane Pobre Elmido 24 ("Respondent"). The Psychiatric Technician License expired on August 31, 2010, and has not 25 been renewed. 26 /// 27 /// 28 /// 1

JURISDICTION

- 3. This Accusation is brought before the Board of Vocational Nursing and Psychiatric Technicians ("Board"), Department of Consumer Affairs, under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code unless otherwise indicated.
- 4. Section 4520 of the Business and Professions Code (Code) provides, in pertinent part, that the Board may discipline any licensed psychiatric technician for any reason provided in Article 3 (commencing with section 4520) of the Psychiatric Technicians Law (Code § 4500, et. seq.)
- 5. Code section 118, subdivision (b), provides in relevant part that the expiration of a license issued by a board "shall not, during any period in which it may be renewed, restored, reissued, or reinstated, deprive the board of its authority to institute or continue a disciplinary proceeding against the licensee upon any ground provided by law or to enter an order suspending or revoking the license or otherwise taking disciplinary action against the licensee on any such ground."

STATUTORY AND REGULATORY PROVISIONS

- 6. Section 4521, subdivision (a) of the Code provides that the Board may suspend or revoke a license issued under [the Psychiatric Technicians Law (Bus. & Prof Code, 4500, et seq.)] for unprofessional conduct.
 - 7. California Code of Regulations ("Regulations"), title 16, section 2576.6 provides that:
- "(a) A licensed psychiatric technician shall safeguard patients'/clients' health and safety . . .
- "(b) A licensed psychiatric technician shall adhere to standards of the profession and shall incorporate ethical and behavioral standards of professional practice which include but are not limited to the following:
 - "(3) Maintaining professional boundaries with patient/client.

. . .

- "(c) A violation of this section constitutes unprofessional conduct for purposes of initiating disciplinary action."
- 8. Regulation section 2564 provides that "[a]ll persons holding a license from the Board shall file their current mailing address with the Board at its office in Sacramento, and shall immediately notify the Board of any and all changes in mailing address, within 30 days after the change, giving both their old and new addresses and license number."

STATEMENT OF FACTS

- 9. At all relevant times herein, Respondent was employed as a psychiatric technician at Napa State Hospital ("NSH"), and assigned to Unit T-8.
- 10. On or before January 30, 2010, Respondent in exchange for \$200, purchased and/or delivered a cell phone to Patient A, who was housed in Unit T-8. Possession of cells phones by patients in Unit T-8 was prohibited at NSH.
- 11. Respondent from January 30 up to February 1, 2010, telephoned and sent text messages to Patient A on the cell phone she had purchased and/or gave to him and received text messages from Patient A.
- 12. Respondent also purchased tobacco for Patient A, a prohibited substance pursuant to NSH rules and regulations. Respondent then shared in the profits of Patient A's sale of tobacco to other patients.
- 13. On or about May 27, 2010, the Board sent a letter to Respondent at her address of record with the Board. The letter was returned as undelivered on June 16, 2010, with no known forwarding address for Respondent.

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Unprofessional Conduct – Failure to Adhere to Ethical Standards of Practice)

14. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 4521, subdivision (a), in that she engaged in unprofessional conduct by failing to adhere to the ethical standards of practice and behavior for psychiatric technicians as set forth in subdivision (a) of Regulation 2576.6. Included in such unprofessional conduct was Respondent's purchasing and/or providing contraband to Patient A (cell phone and tobacco); obtaining remuneration for delivery of a cell

phone to Patient A and profiting in Patient A's re-sale of tobacco to patients at NSH; and engaging in prohibited communication with Patient A (telephone calls and text messaging), as set forth above in paragraphs 9 through 12.

SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Unprofessional Conduct – Failure to Maintain Professional Boundaries with Patient)

15. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 4521, subdivision (a), in that she engaged in unprofessional conduct by failing to maintain professional boundaries with Patient A, as set forth in Regulation 2576.6, subdivision (b)(3). Included in such unprofessional conduct was Respondent's purchasing and/or providing contraband to Patient A (cell phone and tobacco); obtaining remuneration for delivery of a cell phone to Patient A and profiting by Patient A's re-sale of tobacco; and engaging in prohibited communication with Patient A (telephone calls and text messaging), as set forth above in paragraphs 9 through 12.

THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Unprofessional Conduct – Violation of NSH Administrative Directive No. 437)

16. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 4521, subdivision (a), in that she engaged in unprofessional conduct by violating NSH Administrative Directive No. 437, which prohibits staff from taking unethical advantage or gain of an individual at NSH and/or for failing to follow hospital policy that results in a potential or actual security breach. The circumstances in support of this allegation are that Respondent purchased and/or provided contraband to Patient A (cell phone and tobacco); profited from the provision of contraband to Patient A, and engaged in telephone calls and text messaging with Patient A, as set forth above in paragraphs 10 through 12.

FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Unprofessional Conduct – Violation of NSH Administrative Directive No. 293)

17. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 4521, subdivision (a), in that she engaged in unprofessional conduct by violating NSH Administrative Directive No. 293, which mandates that NSH shall remain a smoke and tobacco free environment. The